



Alexandru-Corneliu Arion

A Christian Orthodox Reflection on the Renaissance and the Enlightenment. Exploring the Dialogue Between Reason and Faith in a Transformative Age

Abstract

The Renaissance and the Enlightenment acted as powerful catalysts that forged a distinct European identity, blending a newfound celebration of human reason with the enduring legacy of Christian spirituality. Yet, beneath the surface of progress, these movements ignited deep spiritual tensions, challenging the harmony between faith and reason and prompting a profound theological re-ckoning within the Christian tradi-tion. Orthodox Christianity appoa-ches the Enlightenment as a moment for profound theological reassess-ment, inviting a renewed understand-ing of reason, nature, and the human person within the context of divine



Rev. Assoc. Prof. Dr. Alexandru-Corneliu Arion, Chair of History and Philosophy of Religions at the Faculty of Orthodox Theology and Sciences of Education of the Valahia University of Târgoviște, Romania

revelation. This perspective challenges Enlightenment ideals by emphasizing the synergy between created nature and the uncreated, and by affirming the human person as a relational being destined for deification beyond mere autonomous rationality. The Renaissance and Enlightenment ushered in an era of modern autonomy that, from an Orthodox theological standpoint, reveals a profound crisis, a rupture between human self-sovereignty and the divine order. This critique exposes how the elevation of autonomous reason often leads to a disconnection from the transcendent, highlighting the necessity of reintegrating human freedom within the theonomic vision of creation and salvation. The modern crisis can be understood as the deepening rift between an autonomous vision of the cosmos, where human reason reigns supreme, and the theonomous perspective that affirms divine sovereignty over creation. This tension reveals a fundamental clash between self-centered autonomy and the humble submission to the divine order, challenging humanity to reconcile freedom with its ultimate source and purpose.

Keywords

Renaissance, Enlightenment, autonomy, reason, nature, crisis, human person, theonomy

1 Introduction

The Renaissance and the subsequent spiritual phenomenon known as the Enlightenment represent two pivotal epochs in the shaping of European identity. The Renaissance may be described as a European socio-cultural movement defined by the revival of classical humanism, essentially, the rediscovery of Greco-Roman antiquity. It positioned itself in contrast to medieval theology, asceticism, and scholasticism. It was marked by the burgeoning

of natural sciences and the forging of a novel alliance between science and humanism – an unprecedented development in the trajectory of human culture. As Jacob Burckhardt famously observed, this era marks “the discovery of the world and the discovery of man.”

The philosophical currents of the Renaissance, particularly during the 14th and 15th centuries, were deeply influenced by ancient thought, which they reinterpreted and expanded in light of the complex and often contradictory realities of the age. Renaissance philosophy ultimately converged with the nascent natural sciences, challenging the teleological worldview of scholasticism with a new determinism and introducing a scientific conception of natural law, as seen in the works of Galileo and Kepler. This intellectual shift laid the groundwork for the materialist philosophies of the 17th and 18th centuries.

From the standpoint of Orthodox Christian theology, the Renaissance and Enlightenment constitute not only moments of cultural awakening but also periods of profound spiritual tension. While the revival of classical humanism undeniably fostered the flourishing of the arts, sciences, and philosophy, it also promoted an anthropocentric worldview, one in which man increasingly occupied the center, often to the detriment of divine transcendence. This anthropocentrism, though generative in many respects, introduced a fundamental conflict between the sovereignty of human reason and the authority of divine revelation.

The Enlightenment further magnified this tension. As a movement of rational emancipation, it endeavored to liberate the human intellect from dogma and ecclesiastical oversight, exalting reason, personal autonomy, and scientific advancement as the highest ideals. Luminaries of the Enlightenment – such as Voltaire, Rousseau, and Diderot – envisioned a world governed by reason and natural law, often in explicit contrast to the truths of revelation and the traditions of the Church.

The Renaissance and the subsequent spiritual and intellectual phenomenon known as the Enlightenment represent two pivotal

epochs in the formation of European identity. The Renaissance may be characterized as a socio-cultural movement marked by the revival of classical humanism – fundamentally, the rediscovery and reappropriation of Greco-Roman antiquity. It positioned itself in contrast to medieval theology, asceticism, and scholasticism, signaling the rise of the natural sciences and initiating a novel synthesis between scientific inquiry and humanistic values, an unprecedented trajectory in the development of Western culture. As Jacob Burckhardt famously noted, this era signifies both “the discovery of the world and the discovery of man.”¹

The philosophical undercurrents of the Renaissance, particularly in the 14th and 15th centuries, were deeply influenced by classical thought, which was reinterpreted through the lens of the age’s complex and often paradoxical realities. Renaissance philosophy ultimately converged with emerging natural science, challenging the teleological and metaphysical framework of scholasticism in favor of a rising determinism. This transformation ushered in a new understanding of *lex naturalis*, or natural law, observable in the scientific inquiries of figures such as Galileo Galilei and Johannes Kepler.² Such intellectual developments laid the groundwork for the materialist and rationalist philosophies of the 17th and 18th centuries.

From the vantage point of Orthodox Christian theology, both the Renaissance and the Enlightenment constitute not only moments of cultural renewal but also periods of profound spiritual ambivalence. While the revival of classical humanism undeniably contributed to the flourishing of the arts, sciences, and philosophical reflection, it also fostered an anthropocentric worldview, in which the human subject increasingly became the

¹ Jacob BURCKHARDT, *The Civilization of the Renaissance in Italy*, trans. S.G.C. Middlemore (London: Penguin, 1990), p. 98.

² See James HANNAM, *God’s Philosophers: How the Medieval World Laid the Foundations of Modern Science* (London: Icon Books, 2009), pp. 247–269

measure of all things, often at the expense of divine transcendence and a theocentric cosmology. This shift, though culturally fecund, engendered an inner tension between the sovereignty of human reason and the authority of divine revelation.

Enlightenment amplified this anthropocentric orientation. As a movement of rational emancipation, it sought to liberate the human intellect from theological dogma and ecclesial authority, elevating reason, individual autonomy, and scientific progress as the supreme ideals of modernity. The leading figures of the Enlightenment – such as Voltaire, Rousseau, and Diderot – envisioned a cosmos governed by reason and the immutable laws of nature, frequently in explicit opposition to the truths of revelation and the sacred traditions of the Church.³

This spiritual and intellectual trajectory, while historically generative, invites critical theological discernment. It raises enduring questions about the role of divine mystery in an age increasingly dominated by empirical certainty, and about the place of ecclesial authority in a culture that privileges autonomy over communion.

2 Orthodox Christianity and the Enlightenment: A Theological Reassessment of Reason, Nature, and the Human Person

Yet from the Orthodox Christian perspective, the Enlightenment – though commendable in its promotion of education, critical inquiry, and social justice – also carried with it the risk of reducing the mystery of the human person to strictly rational or materialist categories. In elevating autonomous reason as the principal criterion of truth, it often neglected the spiritual depth

³ For a theological critique of the Enlightenment project, see David BENTLEY HART, *Atheist Delusions: The Christian Revolution and Its Fashionable Enemies* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2009).

of the human being, the need for inner transformation (*metanoia*), and the central role of divine grace in humanity's journey toward truth and authentic freedom.

Within Orthodox theology, true enlightenment transcends intellectual attainment. It is, rather, the spiritual illumination of the *nous*, the highest faculty of the soul, through the uncreated light of God.⁴ This light is not accessible through reason alone or empirical observation, but through a synergy (*synergeia*) between divine grace and human ascetic effort.⁵ The Orthodox tradition thus affirms that the renewal of humanity cannot occur apart from Christ, the New Adam, who restores the divine image within the human person through His Incarnation, Cross, and Resurrection.

In this light, the Renaissance and Enlightenment, while representing foundational stages in Europe's cultural and intellectual development, are seen as incomplete if separated from the greater economy of salvation. The Church calls for their integration into a broader spiritual anthropology, that is one which transcends the false dichotomy between faith and reason, Church and modernity. Only through such a synthesis can the human being be fully recovered as both rational and spiritual, historical and eternal, created and called to deification (*theosis*). The Enlightenment – known also as the *Siècle des Lumières* or "Age of Reason" – is often identified with the rise of progressive and liberal thought in 18th-century France, culminating in the Revolution of 1789 and significantly shaping modern Western philosophy. The era's trust in scientific progress facilitated the

⁴ St. GREGORY Palamas, *Triads*, trans. John Meyendorff (Mahwah, NJ: Paulist Press, 1983), esp. I.3.20.

⁵ Cf. VLADIMIR Lossky, *The Mystical Theology of the Eastern Church* (Crestwood, NY: St Vladimir's Seminary Press, 1997), 196–202. Lossky offers an essential Orthodox perspective on the spiritual life, grace, and the relationship between nature and the supernatural, which underpins the critique of Enlightenment secularism and the desacralization of modern culture.

rise of empiricism, naturalism, and materialism, alongside a pronounced resistance to ecclesiastical authority and clericalism.⁶

At its core, the Enlightenment was a rationalist movement, seeking to cure societal ills through the diffusion of knowledge and cultural refinement – through “light.” This ideal is typified in the work of Paul-Henri Thiry, Baron d’Holbach (1723–1789), particularly in his *Système de la nature* (1770), which codifies the secular metaphysics of the age. His opening statement: “*Man is unhappy only because he misunderstands nature*” – encapsulates the Enlightenment’s foundational conviction.

According to d’Holbach, nature is fully knowable through human experience and reason. Recourse to revelation or religious tradition is unnecessary, and even detrimental, to human flourishing. He argues for a radical continuity between the human being and the rest of nature: all phenomena, including psychological and moral ones, are reducible to material causes. Religion and belief in the supernatural, he contends, are habit-forming illusions that obstruct inquiry and delay the acquisition of the true knowledge required for happiness and self-preservation.⁷

From an Orthodox standpoint, such a view reflects a truncated anthropology. By severing the intellect from the heart, and rational analysis from spiritual vision, the Enlightenment inadvertently diminished the human vocation to communion with God. In contrast, patristic anthropology insists that the human being is not merely a *rational animal*, but a *microcosm* of creation, destined for union with the divine through the transformative energy of the Holy Spirit.

⁶ Jonathan ISRAEL, *Radical Enlightenment: Philosophy and the Making of Modernity 1650–1750* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001), 3–25.

⁷ Paul-Henri THIRY D’Holbach, *The System of Nature*, trans. H.D. Robinson (London: 1820), I.1.

Thus, any authentic renewal of culture, knowledge, or human freedom must be rooted in this deeper vision of the human person, not merely as a thinking subject, but as a spiritual being made in the image and likeness of God and called to be a partaker in the divine nature (2 Peter 1:4).

3 Renaissance, Enlightenment, and the Crisis of Modern Autonomy: An Orthodox Theological Critique

All the tendencies encompassed by the two monumental movements of the European spirit, namely the Renaissance and the Enlightenment, undoubtedly bore intentions that, from the standpoint of their adherents, served noble ends. It is true, as many Enlightenment thinkers asserted, that the human being is neither intrinsically good nor evil, and that one's character can be shaped through education and experience. Yet when this shaping is pursued exclusively by autonomous means – detached from divine revelation and the healing presence of grace – it ultimately reveals its limitations and failures.

The celebrated emancipation from the guardianship of religion and ecclesial tradition, vigorously promoted by the French *philosophes*, did not culminate in man's liberation in the deeper sense of moral healing. Instead, it yielded autonomy largely confined to the domains of scientific inquiry and secular education. Emerging from this experiment, modern man remained no more capable of healing the wounds of his spiritual alienation than before. As St. Gregory of Nyssa remarks, "He who has fallen away from the good, becomes in consequence the cause of his own evil"⁸, highlighting the necessity of grace in true restoration.

⁸ GREGORY of Nyssa, *On the Soul and the Resurrection*, in *Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers*, Series II, vol. 5, ed. Philip Schaff and Henry Wace (Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 1994), p. 439.

Kant's famous exhortation: *Sapere aude!* ("Dare to know!") opened the way for Enlightenment rationalism and the rise of empirical science. Yet in relocating the categories of space and time from the divine mind to the human subject, Kant initiated a profound anthropocentric turn. The implications of this move were not merely epistemological, but theological and ontological. St. Maximus the Confessor offers an implicit critique of such self-grounding: "The one who has purified his intellect from passions receives the knowledge of God from the divine light"⁹. The problem with Enlightenment autonomy lies not in the pursuit of knowledge *per se*, but in the loss of the noetic faculty's proper orientation toward divine illumination (*phōtismos*).

Indeed, Kantian subjectivism laid the groundwork for successive philosophical currents – idealism, existentialism, nihilism – that entrenched the *autonomy of the human subject*, while marginalizing the ontological primacy of God. The result, as Fr. Dumitru Stăniloae argues, is a conception of man that is "closed in upon himself, forgetting his capacity for communion with the Absolute"¹⁰.

This anthropocentric turn represents not simply a shift in worldview, but the onset of what the Orthodox tradition identifies as *hybris*, the spiritual pride that arises when man forgets his created nature and his dependency upon God¹¹. The medieval synthesis, which maintained a theocentric orientation, gradually gave way to a secular modernity that proclaimed man as *measure of all things*. The ensuing phenomenon of

⁹ MAXIMUS the Confessor, *Chapters on Knowledge*, in *The Philokalia*, vol. 2, trans. G.E.H. Palmer, Philip Sherrard, and Kallistos Ware (London: Faber & Faber, 1981), 132.

¹⁰ DUMITRU STĂNILOAE, *The Experience of God: Orthodox Dogmatic Theology*, vol. 1, trans. Ioan Ionita and Robert Barringer (Brookline, MA: Holy Cross Orthodox Press, 1994), p. 56.

¹¹ See BASIL the Great, *Homily on Humility*, in *Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers*, Series II, vol. 8.

secularization is thus not merely sociological but profoundly theological.

Nevertheless, Orthodox theology insists that this modern claim to autonomy must be distinguished from the deeper freedom of the Christian person. Christian freedom arises not from the assertion of self-will, but from its transfiguration in communion with God. As *St. Isaac the Syrian* teaches: "Freedom is not the ability to do whatever one wills, but the strength to choose what is right in God"¹². This recognition – that human reason, unaided by divine grace, is insufficient for salvation – is foundational to the ascetical and theological vision of the Church.

True freedom, in this view, is *kenotic*: it begins with the humble acknowledgment of one's insufficiency and culminates in the restoration of the divine image through Christ. The Incarnation is not merely a divine accommodation to history but the very path of humanity's healing and deification (*theosis*)¹³. Thus, the Orthodox tradition does not reject the cultural achievements of the Renaissance or the Enlightenment, but calls for their reintegration into a higher synthesis, one in which reason is illumined, not idolized, and modernity is baptized, not discarded. Let us note that even within the realm of philosophical autonomy, the insufficiency of reason – though rarely proclaimed in principle – is often tacitly acknowledged in practice. In attempting to secure agreement among diverse individual judgments, Neoplatonism postulated the existence of a universal, supra-individual *Nous* (intellect). Plotinus, its principal exponent, went so far as to practice *ekstasis*, i.e. a form of contemplative ascent beyond discursive reason, in pursuit of

¹² ISAAC the Syrian, *Ascetical Homilies*, trans. Dana Miller (Boston, MA: Holy Transfiguration Monastery, 1984), Homily 31.

¹³ ATHANASIUS of Alexandria, *On the Incarnation*, trans. and ed. John Behr (Yonkers, NY: SVS Press, 2011), §54: "God became man so that man might become god."

a higher, more essential knowledge¹⁴. This mystical tendency signals a philosophical concession: that unaided reason cannot grasp the fullness of being.

Similarly, the *Stoic* doctrine of the *logos spermatikos* – the seminal reason implanted in each person – serves as an implicit recognition of the inability of purely individual reason to attain universal certainty¹⁵. The concept points to a shared rational principle, yet one that must transcend individual subjectivity in order to bind human understanding into coherence. In both these cases, we observe a pattern: philosophy, when pushed to its limits, turns back toward some form of participation in a reality greater than the autonomous self.

Orthodox patristic tradition resonates with this recognition. St. Athanasius the Great affirms that the true *Logos* is not a principle accessible by dialectic alone, but the Divine Word through whom all things were made and who entered history for the salvation of man¹⁶. Furthermore, St. Gregory Palamas distinguishes between rational and noetic knowledge, the latter being possible only through divine illumination¹⁷. Thus, the Orthodox Church confirms what ancient philosophy often intuited but could not articulate with finality: that the fulfillment of reason lies not in its autonomy but in its *transfiguration* through grace.

As for the phenomenon of secularization, like any cultural product, it manifests both luminous and shadowed aspects,

¹⁴ PLOTINUS, *Enneads*, VI.9.11, trans. A.H. Armstrong (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1988): “This is the life of gods and of godlike and blessed men, a liberation from the things here below, a life that takes no part in the life of the earth, a flight of the alone to the Alone.”

¹⁵ MARCUS AURELIUS, *Meditations*, IV.40, trans. Gregory Hays (New York: Modern Library, 2002): “The logos by which all things are governed dwells within you, as the fire in the seed.”

¹⁶ ATHANASIUS of Alexandria, *On the Incarnation*, trans. and ed. John Behr (Yonkers, NY: St. Vladimir’s Seminary Press, 2011), §1–3.

¹⁷ GREGORY Palamas, *Triads*, I.3.20, in *The Triads*, ed. John Meyendorff, trans. Nicholas Gendle (Mahwah, NJ: Paulist Press, 1983): “The divine light is not simply intelligible, not merely a product of reason, but it is uncreated and communicated through the Spirit to the purified soul.”

some conducive to human flourishing, others detrimental to the deeper dimensions of the human person. It is undeniable that the Enlightenment, the intellectual engine of secularization, contributed decisively to the development of European civilization¹⁸: the formation of the modern nation-state, the promotion of human rights, and the unprecedented advancement of science and education, often through a dialectic with conservative theological structures¹⁹.

Yet from the perspective of Orthodox Christian theology, the central concern posed by secularization lies in its tendency to orient human existence primarily toward the *saeculum*, the temporal and immanent, at the expense of the *eschaton*, the eternal and transcendent. As Fr. Dumitru Stăniloae notes, *man without transcendence becomes closed in upon himself*, and thus, diminished in his full ontological potential²⁰.

Secularization is not merely the decline of ecclesial influence in public life; it is the culmination of a profound and dramatic confrontation between the Church and Western culture. On one side stood the Church, seeking to sacralize the cosmos and integrate all aspects of life under the sovereignty of divine grace. On the other, beginning with the Renaissance and culminating in the Enlightenment and French Revolution, Western culture

¹⁸ For example, documentary films presented in the visual media, in which evolutionism continues to be portrayed as a simple natural phenomenon, without divine intervention, constitute conclusive evidence in this regard. For details: Fr. Prof. Dr. Dumitru POPESCU, *Theology and Culture*, Biblical and Missionary Institute of the Romanian Orthodox Church, Bucharest, 1993, pp. 66 sq.

¹⁹ Charles TAYLOR, *A Secular Age* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2007), pp. 25–56. Taylor provides a comprehensive philosophical and historical analysis of secularization and the cultural shift from a theocentric to an anthropocentric worldview. His nuanced approach helps understand the complexity of the transition from medieval to modern thought.

²⁰ Dumitru STĂNILOAE, *The Experience of God: Orthodox Dogmatic Theology*, vol. 1, trans. Ioan Ionita and Robert Barringer (Brookline, MA: Holy Cross Orthodox Press, 1994), pp. 110–113.

undertook a systematic reorientation of the human toward autonomy, toward liberation from the perceived tutelage of religious authority²¹.

A notable Catholic synthesis of this historical trajectory is provided by Henri de Lubac, who observes: "To the excessive confidence in human powers promoted by the Renaissance, the tragic fideism of the Reformation ('sola fide') soon responded, with its emphasis on the power of divine grace ('sola gratia'). Then, in a renewed reflux, Enlightenment humanism gave rise to contemporary atheism – the self-deification of man in the absence of an authentic Christian deification."²²

Orthodox theology would nuance this even further: the Christian *theosis*, or deification, does not elevate man apart from God, but rather through the *synergia* of divine grace and human freedom. The secular project, by contrast, tends to absolutize human autonomy at the expense of relationality with the divine, effectively inverting the patristic insight that *man becomes truly himself only in communion with God*²³. As St. Gregory of Nyssa put it, "the goal of a virtuous life is to become like God"²⁴, an ascent not of pride, but of humility transfigured by love.

This observation encapsulates a profound spiritual dialectic: from the confident exaltation of human capacities in the Renaissance, to the fideistic recoil of the Reformation, and finally to the secular autonomy of the Enlightenment. This trajectory culminates in a form of anthropocentric absolutism, a cultural attempt to enthrone man in the place of God, yet without participation in divine life, without *theosis*. The legacy of the Enlightenment, therefore, though rich in intellectual and cultural

²¹ Michael Allen GILLESPIE, *The Theological Origins of Modernity* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2008), pp. 89–137.

²² Henri de LUBAC, *The Drama of Atheist Humanism*, trans. Edith M. Riley (San Francisco: Ignatius Press, 1995), pp. 28–29.

²³ John ZIZIOULAS, *Being as Communion* (Crestwood, NY: St. Vladimir's Seminary Press, 1985), pp. 15–20.

²⁴ GREGORY of Nyssa, *The Life of Moses*, trans. Abraham J. Malherbe and Everett Ferguson (New York: Paulist Press, 1978), II.225.

achievements, simultaneously reveals a deepening crisis of transcendence, which modern Christianity is summoned to address with renewed theological discernment and patristic depth²⁵.

The process of secularization has shifted the axis of meaning and value in Western culture from God to man, from *theocentrism* to *anthropocentrism*. As Pierre-Simon Laplace famously replied when Napoleon inquired about the absence of God in his celestial mechanics, "I had no need of that hypothesis"²⁶. This emblematic statement underscores a broader civilizational movement away from divine transcendence toward a self-sufficient rationalism. Yet this exaltation of autonomy has not brought true liberation. Rather, as patristic anthropology affirms, man alienated from God becomes alienated from himself²⁷. The Fathers teach that the human being, created *kat' eikona Theou* ("in the image of God," Gen. 1:26), finds his true self not in autonomy, but in communion – in a dynamic relationship with the divine Logos²⁸. Without this vertical orientation, man descends into existential fragmentation, seeking meaning in the very structures he himself has constructed, be they rational, technological, or socio-political.

²⁵ John MEYENDORFF, *Byzantine Theology: Historical Trends and Doctrinal Themes*, 2nd ed. (New York: Fordham University Press, 1983), pp. 165–170.

²⁶ Quoted in Stanley JAKI, *The Road of Science and the Ways to God* (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1978), p. 49. For details: Rev. Alexandru Corneliu ARION, "Encounters with Reality": Landmarks for the Contemporary Dialogue between Theology and Culture, Preface by Prof. Dr. Lucian Turcescu, Cetatea de Scaun Publishing House, Târgoviște, 2017, pp. 21 sqq.

²⁷ St. GREGORY of Nyssa, *On the Making of Man*, in *Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers*, Second Series, Vol. 5, ed. Philip Schaff and Henry Wace (Peabody: Hendrickson Publishers, 1995), ch. 16.

²⁸ St. ATHANASIUS, *On the Incarnation*, trans. John Behr (Yonkers, NY: St. Vladimir's Seminary Press, 2011), §54.

Modern man's identity crisis, shaped by Enlightenment secularism and scientific reductionism, presents an opportunity for spiritual rebirth - a rediscovery of the *nous*, the spiritual intellect, and of divine grace as the means of true illumination. Orthodox theology, with its emphasis on *theosis*, offers a vital corrective to the modern project by recalling that reason, though a gift of God, is not sovereign unto itself, but must be illumined and healed by the Spirit²⁹.

This alienation, poignantly described by Orthodox theologian Christos Yannaras († 2024), manifests in a cultural loneliness, where man, having abandoned the divine Other, becomes trapped in the prison of his own self-referential consciousness³⁰. This condition is not simply an epistemological issue but an ontological wound, i.e. one that cannot be healed by science or ideology, but only through *metanoia* and ecclesial participation in Christ.

Therefore, the Orthodox Church is uniquely positioned to offer a theological re-centering in a post-secular age. As Fr. Dumitru Stăniloae writes, "Only through Christ can man overcome the illusion of autonomy and recover the full image of God within himself"³¹. The restoration of this image requires not merely ethical reformation but sacramental participation and ascetical struggle, a synergy of grace and freedom that culminates in divine-human communion.

The entire autonomous establishment of human reason finds a compelling exposition in a contemporary *Western analysis*, which articulates the fundamental shift introduced by Enlightenment thought:

²⁹ Vladimir LOSSKY, *The Mystical Theology of the Eastern Church* (Crestwood, NY: St. Vladimir's Seminary Press, 1997), pp. 70–81.

³⁰ Christos YANNARAS, *The Freedom of Morality*, trans. Elizabeth Briere (Crestwood, NY: St. Vladimir's Seminary Press, 1984), pp. 23–25.

³¹ Dumitru STĂNILOAE, *The Experience of God: Orthodox Dogmatic Theology*, Vol. 1, trans. and ed. Ioan Ionita and Robert Barringer (Brookline, MA: Holy Cross Orthodox Press, 1998), p. 105.

“Enlightenment thinkers spoke of their era as the Age of Reason, by which they essentially meant those analytical and mathematical forces by which man can arrive at a full understanding of reality and thus become the absolute master of nature. There was no longer room for miracles or divine interventions, which were seen as categories of explanation. God could only be conceived in a deistic sense (that is, isolated in the transcendent) as the ultimate Author of all things but no longer was a personal knowledge of this Author necessary to read or decipher the book of nature. Nature, as the sum of all that exists, is the only true reality. And the scientist is the priest who can uncover for humanity the secrets of nature. Reason thus understood is sovereign in its work. [...] Reason can no longer bow before any authority except that of facts.”³²

This vision illustrates a fundamental epistemological rupture: divine revelation is no longer necessary for the apprehension of truth, and the cosmos, once seen as a liturgical space infused with divine energies³³, becomes a closed system, governed solely by immanent causality. As Orthodox theology reminds us, this rationalistic absolutism is not merely a philosophical error, but a theological distortion: it denies the possibility of *gnosis*, the spiritual knowledge that flows from participation in the divine life through grace³⁴.

Patristic theology, particularly in the tradition of St. Maximus the Confessor, insists that true knowledge (*epignosis*) unites the intellect (*nous*) with God through love and humility, not through

³² Michael Allen GILLESPIE, *The Theological Origins of Modernity* (University of Chicago Press, 2008), pp. 10–11.

³³ St. DIONYSIUS the Areopagite, *The Celestial Hierarchy*, ch. 3; see also Alexander SCHMEMANN, *For the Life of the World* (Crestwood, NY: SVS Press, 2004), pp. 14–20.

³⁴ Vladimir LOSSKY, *The Mystical Theology of the Eastern Church* (Crestwood, NY: SVS Press, 1997), p. 101.

domination of nature³⁵. The Enlightenment's exaltation of unaided human reason effectively silenced the *logos* of creation, rendering nature mute to its Creator and man deaf to the call of transcendence. In this context, the scientist becomes a high priest of a new rationalist cult, wherein fact replaces faith, and empirical data displaces sacramental vision.

Yet Orthodox anthropology offers a profound corrective: reason is not autonomous, but dialogical; it is healed and fulfilled only when illumined by the Holy Spirit, in the communion of the Church. "Reason must descend into the heart," says St. Gregory Palamas, "and there it must be united with prayer, that it may become spiritual and receptive to divine illumination"³⁶.

Convinced that reason and science would enable him to master nature, modern man ceased to contemplate the heavenly paradise or the spiritual dimension of the divine promise (*Civitas Dei*) and instead sought, through a deifugal impulse, to construct, by means of the full apparatus of science, his own paradise on earth (*civitas diaboli*)³⁷. Thus, the process of secularization transposed the holy city from the eschaton into the immanent, transforming it from a divine gift into the ultimate product of human intellect governed by an "autonomous", yet profoundly isolated, mind³⁸.

The emancipation of the human spirit from the authority of dogma³⁹, tradition, and what was perceived as superstition, together with the unrestrained exercise of reason, was expected

³⁵ St. Maximus the Confessor, *Ambigua*, 10 (PG 91, 1129C–1132A); cf. Andrew Louth, *Maximus the Confessor* (London: Routledge, 1996), pp. 90–95.

³⁶ St. GREGORY Palamas, *Triads*, I.2.3, in *The Philokalia*, Vol. IV, ed. and trans. by Palmer, Sherrard, and Ware (London: Faber and Faber, 1995).

³⁷ See AUGUSTINE, *The City of God*, Book XIX, where he contrasts the *civitas Dei* with the earthly city based on self-love (Harvard University Press, 1960).

³⁸ Charles TAYLOR, *A Secular Age* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2007), pp. 15–22.

³⁹ Pr. Prof. Dr. Dumitru POPESCU, *Teologie și Cultură*, pp. 68–69.

to produce a flourishing of knowledge and a triumph over evil through technology and rational planning. However, this utopian hope failed to materialize; instead, it gave rise to an intensified egocentric frivolity and spiritual disorientation⁴⁰.

It must be underscored that this autonomy of reason – particularly that celebrated by Enlightenment creativity – was, perhaps paradoxically, the logical outcome of the autonomous cosmology developed within Western scholastic theology. From the eleventh century onward, influenced by Aristotelian thought, scholasticism increasingly articulated a mechanistic conception of reality grounded in the notion of the *res in se* (thing-in-itself; *das Ding an sich*), wherein causal relations were interpreted as purely external, and the divine presence within creation was effectively abstracted⁴¹. This conception laid the groundwork for the eventual autonomy of the physical world from its Creator.

According to this framework, the cosmos was understood to evolve according to immutable laws established by God at the moment of creation, operating independently of any subsequent divine intervention. From here, the intellectual path to Deism – where God is conceived as distant and uninvolved – and subsequently to practical atheism, became alarmingly short⁴². If the universe functions like a machine, as suggested in the scholastic paradigm, then miracles and divine providence become superfluous, and the transcendence of God is relegated to metaphysical irrelevance.

This intellectual trajectory also explains the rise of evolutionary theory, which seeks to account for the origin and development of life solely through natural causes, excluding any appeal to the

⁴⁰ Alexander SCHMEMANN, *For the Life of the World* (Crestwood, NY: SVS Press, 2000), pp. 29–35.

⁴¹ David Bentley HART, *The Experience of God: Being, Consciousness, Bliss* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2013), pp. 153–157; cf. Thomas Aquinas, *Summa Theologiae*, I, Q.2–3.

⁴² Michael Allen GILLESPIE, *The Theological Origins of Modernity* (University of Chicago Press, 2008), pp. 41–47.

creative intervention of a personal God⁴³. A secularized culture thus becomes a mechanistic culture, structured upon causality and reductionist empiricism, a culture of the *thing-in-itself* (*das Ding an sich*), devoid of sacramental presence or spiritual meaning⁴⁴.

By contrast, the Orthodox perspective affirms that man, created “in the image and likeness of God” (Gen. 1:26–27), finds his true fulfillment not in the autonomous exercise of reason, but in communion with God through grace. Knowledge, in its fullest sense, is not the conquest of nature but participation in divine life (*theosis*)⁴⁵. This participation is made possible through prayer, humility, and love, the very means by which the *nous* (spiritual intellect) is united with God⁴⁶.

Thus, the identity crisis of modern man, brought on by secularization, may yet become the threshold of spiritual renewal. Orthodoxy offers profound theological resources – often unknown or marginalized in the West – for a re-enchantment of the world and a reconstitution of human personhood⁴⁷. This revival calls for a turning away from an existence shaped by Luciferian aspirations toward ontological self-sufficiency and toward a *Christocentric*, theonomic existence, wherein man acknowledges his dependence on and longing for the divine Logos⁴⁸.

⁴³ Jean-Claude Larchet, *The Theology of Illness* (Crestwood, NY: SVS Press, 2002), pp. 17–20.

⁴⁴ Joseph Ratzinger (Pope Benedict XVI), *Introduction to Christianity* (San Francisco: Ignatius Press, 2004), pp. 85–88.

⁴⁵ St. Gregory of Nyssa, *On the Making of Man*, PG 44, 136; cf. Vladimir Lossky, *The Mystical Theology of the Eastern Church* (SVS Press, 1997), pp. 67–73.

⁴⁶ St. Gregory Palamas, *The Triads*, ed. John Meyendorff, trans. Nicholas Gendle (Paulist Press, 1983), I.3.23.

⁴⁷ John Zizioulas, *Being as Communion* (Crestwood, NY: SVS Press, 1985), pp. 102–110.

⁴⁸ St. Maximus the Confessor, *Ambigua* 10, in *Selected Writings*, ed. George C. Berthold (Paulist Press, 1985), pp. 60–65. See also St. Maximus, *Ambigua*, in: *Writings I*, translated from Ancient Greek, with

4 Modern crisis seen through the rift between autonomous and theonomous visions of the cosmos

Due to the tendency to isolate God in transcendence, by virtue of the autonomy of creation, humanity tends to replace God and take His place on earth. Contrasting the two paradigms or models of humanity, one may say that while the medieval man felt insufficient in himself and sought fulfillment in God precisely from this insufficiency, the modern man is characterized by a rebellious affirmation of self-sufficiency and autonomy, a rejection of divine Revelation, and a tendency to assume God's place on earth. Just as the scientific revolution in astronomy marked the transition from the geocentric to the heliocentric system, so too, on a spiritual level, the great transition from the medieval to the modern age signifies the abandonment of the theocentric worldview and the adoption of anthropocentrism, which in its development is synonymous with the desacralization of culture⁴⁹. Thus, modern life, abandoning the dimensions of spiritual intensity and captivated by the immensity of space, has distanced itself from the transcendent, confining itself to terrestrial space and time.⁵⁰

The *modern man* no longer concerns himself with the spiritual values of the Kingdom of God but seeks attachment to the material and transitory values of this world.⁵¹ The foremost concern for a secularized culture is no longer the nostalgic quest for the heavenly paradise but the construction of an earthly

introduction, notes, and index by Fr. Dumitru Stăniloae, *Fathers and Ecclesiastical Writers* (PSB) collection, vol. 80, Bucharest: Publishing House of the Biblical and Orthodox Mission Institute, 1983, pp. 136-145.

⁴⁹ Charles TAYLOR, *A Secular Age* (Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2007), pp. 25-30.

⁵⁰ Mircea ELIADE, *The Sacred and the Profane: The Nature of Religion*, trans. Willard R. Trask (New York: Harcourt, 1957), pp. 11-15.

⁵¹ Joseph RATZINGER, *Introduction to Christianity*, trans. J. R. Foster (San Francisco: Ignatius Press, 2004), pp. 65-68.

paradise through science and technology, without recourse to God.⁵²

It must be acknowledged that in the autonomous cosmological concept promoted by Western scholasticism, the supernatural order was not regarded as opposed to the natural order; on the contrary, it had the role of contributing, through divine grace (*gratia*), to the perfection of nature (*gratia naturam perficit* – “grace perfects nature”)⁵³. Unfortunately, however, the Enlightenment “outburst” altered the meaning of this autonomy from Western theology, which recognized the existence of God and His providential role in creation, into an autonomy that denies and opposes the existence of God.⁵⁴ Furthermore, it regards any intervention of the supernatural order into the natural order as a violation of the latter, thus laying the foundations for the process of *secularization*, which has confined man within his own immanent self-sufficiency, with all the negative moral consequences that follow.⁵⁵

Enlightenment culture focused primarily on the external world, neglecting the spiritual life of the individual, thereby giving rise to the spiritual crisis of contemporary society, as well as the

⁵² Alasdair MACINTYRE, *After Virtue: A Study in Moral Theory*, 3rd ed. (Notre Dame, IN: University of Notre Dame Press, 2007), pp. 51–56.

⁵³ Thomas AQUINAS, *Summa Theologica*, I–II, q. 109. Aquinas’s scholastic theology forms the foundation for understanding the medieval synthesis of reason and faith, the role of grace, and the ordered cosmos, which was later transformed by Enlightenment autonomy. See also Etienne GILSON, *The Spirit of Medieval Philosophy*, trans. A.H.C. Downes (Notre Dame, IN: University of Notre Dame Press, 1991), pp. 124–128.

⁵⁴ Rev. prof. dr. Dumitru POPESCU, *Ortodoxie și Contemporaneitate (Orthodoxy and Contemporaneity)*, Diogene Publishing House, Bucharest, 1996, p. 191. For details: Rémi BRAGUE, *The Law of God: The Philosophical History of an Idea*, trans. Lydia G. Cochrane (Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2007), pp. 196–202.

⁵⁵ Charles TAYLOR, *A Secular Age*, pp. 539–544.

devastating process of desacralization, whose effects are felt acutely all the more today.⁵⁶

It is true that Enlightenment and its culture had the great merit of promoting human rights, democracy, and the development of science and technology, often in opposition to the medieval Inquisition, to the benefit of humanity. Yet this Enlightenment culture focused predominantly on the external natural world, neglecting the spiritual life of the person, thus engendering the spiritual crisis of contemporary society as well as the process of its desacralization⁵⁷.

Referring to the autonomy of reason as the result of the autonomy of creation – a “fruit” of the Enlightenment era – the Romanian famous poet and theologian Nichifor Crainic († 1972) made a strikingly clear assertion: “I do not believe in the power of human reason. The modern concept of the ‘autonomy’ of reason originates in pagan humanism – that is, in the boundless trust in the individual’s capacity to rise above the world, to formulate and dictate its laws. It is an attitude of pride, endlessly reproducing and consecrating Adam’s sin, who fell through arrogance when he was intoxicated by the illusion of rising through his own nature. The world before Christ thought in this autonomy; the modern world, divorced from Christ, has returned to it, taking up the sin from the beginning.”⁵⁸

⁵⁶ Paul TILlich, *The Courage to Be* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1952), pp.48–50. He expresses: “The courage to be is rooted in the God who appears when God has disappeared in the anxiety of doubt.”. Tillich’s book offers a theological-philosophical response to the existential crisis of modernity, proposing that real courage involves transcending personal anxiety through trust in the divine ground of being.

⁵⁷ Brad S. GREGORY, *The Unintended Reformation: How a Religious Revolution Secularized Society* (Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press, 2012), pp. 361–370.

⁵⁸ Nichifor CRAINIC, *Nostalgia Paradisului (Nostalgia of Paradise)*, Moldova Publishing House, Iași, 1994, p. 8.

The expectations of the 18th century, so loudly proclaimed by the exponents of Enlightenment, have not been fulfilled. As Lesslie Newbigin, an *English theologian* observed: “The heavenly city has not descended upon the earth, and we continue to await it. Science has achieved victories beyond the expectations of the eighteenth century, yet the resulting world does not appear to us to be more rational than the one we knew in earlier centuries. Increasingly, people within the most powerful nations on earth feel helpless in the grip of irrational forces that aim to destabilize religion and culture.”⁵⁹

The process of secularization, along with the implicit desacralization of the world, has led to an evident spiritual and moral decline⁶⁰. In the name of an autonomy that seems to flatter human pride, man becomes a prisoner of the immanent world, where he experiences the sense of estrangement from God (*The Flight from God* – Max Picard), and confronts the forces of the irrational and the demonic, thus confirming the words of our Lord Jesus Christ:

⁵⁹ Lesslie NEWBIGIN, *The Other Side of 1984*, WCC, Geneva, 1983, p. 17. It is a brief but poignant critique aimed at the Western Church and its engagement with modern culture. Bishop James E. Lesslie Newbigin (1909–1998) diagnoses a cultural malaise in the West – a society shaped by Enlightenment individualism, secularism, and consumerism – where Christianity has retreated into a private, domestic sphere. Referencing Orwell’s dystopia, Newbigin challenges the Church to step beyond passive acceptance and engage courageously. He challenges the neutrality of modern scientific rationality and the privatization of faith, criticizing both liberalism and fundamentalism. He rejects secular utopianism and secular despair alike. Instead, he anchors the Church’s hope in the victorious Christ and the promise of resurrection and the Spirit, commending discipleship shaped by gospel truth and historical awareness.

⁶⁰ For details: Alexandru-Corneliu ARION, “Human depersonalization as a symptom of postmodern society”, in *International Journal of Orthodox Theology* (IJOT), edited by Daniel Munteanu, 5:1 (2014), pp. 50-57. See <http://orthodox-theology.com/media/PDF/IJOT1.2014/Arion.pdf>

“For what does it profit a man to gain the whole world and forfeit his soul?” (Mark 8:36)⁶¹

Eastern Orthodox theology also speaks of the “reasons” (Gr. *logoi*) of creation, but not in the sense of seminal reasons (as in Western scholasticism), which function autonomously and independently from God. Rather, it speaks of a multitude of reasons whose gravitational center, through the Holy Spirit, is found in the Supreme Reason, the creative Logos through whom all things were made (cf. John 1:1–3)⁶².

Unlike an *autonomous cosmology*, which disregards the presence of God in the physical universe, the Eastern Fathers – drawing on Holy Scripture (Genesis 1:2; Wisdom of Solomon 12:1) – hold that the Holy Spirit “is not absent from any creature,” especially from those deemed worthy of reason.⁶³ For Eastern theology, these reasons (the *logoi*) do not pass from potentiality into actuality by powers inherent to them – that is, in an autonomous and independent manner – but all are realized through the will of the divine Logos, the Son of God.⁶⁴

In striking opposition to the autonomous conception of the cosmos, one that reaches its zenith with the Enlightenment, and which reduces man to a slave of sensuality, spiritually disfiguring him, the Eastern Church proclaims its belief in the continuous

⁶¹ Max PICARD, *The Flight from God*, trans. Anne Fremantle (Chicago: Henry Regnery Company, 1951), pp. 23–27; *The Holy Bible*, English Standard Version (Wheaton, IL: Crossway, 2016), Mark 8:36.

⁶² John BEHR, *The Mystery of Christ: Life in Death* (Crestwood, NY: St. Vladimir’s Seminary Press, 2006), pp. 110–115.

⁶³ Genesis 1:2; Wisdom of Solomon 12:1; John D. ZIZIOULAS, *Being as Communion: Studies in Personhood and the Church* (Crestwood, NY: St. Vladimir’s Seminary Press, 1985), pp. 99–104.

⁶⁴ Rev. Prof. Dumitru POPESCU, in *Preface to* Max PICARD, *Fuga de Dumnezeu (Flight from God)*, Transl. by Patricia Merfu and Rev. George Remete, Anastasia, Bucharest, 1998, p. 9. Also: Saint MAXIMUS the Confessor, *On the Cosmic Mystery of Jesus Christ*, trans. Paul M. Blowers and Robert Louis Wilken (Crestwood, NY: St. Vladimir’s Seminary Press, 2003), pp. 60–68.

creation of all things in the universe, governed by the divine *Logos* as the Supreme Reason.⁶⁵

This ongoing act of creation has its origin in the *creatio originalis* (the initial creation) and will be consummated in the *creatio finalis* (the final creation) at the Parousia of the Lord, through the advent of “a new heaven and a new earth” (cf. Revelation 21:1), that is, through the transfiguration of the entire cosmos in Christ.⁶⁶

This *theonomous cosmology*, which culminates not in the annihilation, but in the transfiguration of creation, constitutes the Christian response to the profound crisis presently endured by humanity, including that of Europe, which still lays claim to the epithet “Christian.”⁶⁷

As long as European civilization remains rooted in a secularized and desacralized culture – one that excludes God – it will continue to suffer an inner rupture between its outward drive to dominate the world through science and technology, and its inward descent into servitude under the world and its natural laws.⁶⁸

The redefinition of its Christian identity is Europe’s lifeline, without which it stands on the brink of spiritual collapse. This is the strongest argument in favor of Europe’s fundamental need to return to its Christian origins – the only source of protection and life – trusting in the enduring truth of the Savior’s testamentary

⁶⁵ Vladimir LOSSKY, *The Mystical Theology of the Eastern Church*, trans. Joan M. Fairchild (Crestwood, NY: St. Vladimir’s Seminary Press, 1976), pp. 45–48.

⁶⁶ Dumitru POPESCU, in *Preface* to Max PICARD, *op.cit.*, p. 10. See also: Dumitru STĂNILAOE, *Teologia Dogmatică Ortodoxă*, vol. 3 (București: Editura Institutului Biblic și de Misiune Ortodoxă, 1996), pp. 210–215.

⁶⁷ Charles TAYLOR, *A Secular Age*, pp. 501–510.

⁶⁸ *Ibid.*, pp. 25–30; For details: Joseph RATZINGER (Benedict XVI), *Without Roots: The West, Relativism, Christianity, Islam*, trans. Michael J. Miller (New York: Basic Books, 2006), pp. 35–40.

words: “My Father is working until now, and I am working.” (John 5:17)⁶⁹

Let us note a widely acknowledged fact: the true crisis of united Europe lies precisely in what cherishes most: *globalization*. This phenomenon tends toward a flattening uniformity, like a steamroller, ignoring the spiritual needs of this amalgam of nations which are no longer consulted regarding their priorities, but are rather subjected, authoritatively, to demands foreign to their ethnogenetic fiber⁷⁰. Hence the necessity for Europe to return to its common roots, its Christian roots.

If allowed to heal wounds and soothe offended sensibilities, these roots will contribute far more effectively to the establishment of the “City of God” on earth, in light of the revealed truth that “the nations will walk by its light, and the kings of the earth will bring their glory into it” (Rev. 21:24)⁷¹. Thus arises the essential need for the “kings”, that is secular authorities, to acknowledge a higher authority, to whom they may offer the tribute of their “glory,” so that their peoples– the nations – may walk in the light of the Lamb, who exhorts with utmost urgency: “Seek first the Kingdom of God and His righteousness, and all these things [material needs] shall be added to you” (Matthew 6:33).⁷²

⁶⁹ *The Holy Bible*, English Standard Version (Wheaton, IL: Crossway, 2016); See also Olivier CLÉMENT, *The Roots of Christian Europe* (London: New City Press, 1993), p. 45.

⁷⁰ Rémi BRAGUE, *Eccentric Culture: A Theory of Western Civilization*, trans. Samuel Lester (South Bend, IN: St. Augustine’s Press, 2002), pp. 112–117; Michel HENRY, *Barbarism*, trans. Scott Davidson (London: Continuum, 2012), pp. 90–94.

⁷¹ AUGUSTINE, *The City of God*, trans. Henry Bettenson (London: Penguin Books, 2003), Book XIX.

⁷² *The Holy Bible*, English Standard Version; Dumitru STĂNILOAE, *Teologia Dogmatică Ortodoxă*, vol. 1, pp. 68–70.

Conclusion

The present study has sought to explore the profound tensions and spiritual consequences of the Renaissance and the Enlightenment from the standpoint of Orthodox theology. Rather than simply opposing modernity, the Orthodox vision offers a critical re-evaluation that affirms what is true while exposing what is spiritually fragmenting.

The Renaissance and the Enlightenment stand as monumental epochs in the tapestry of Western history, epochs that heralded a rebirth of human reason, a quest for knowledge, and unyielding confidence in the powers of the autonomous mind. Yet, through the discerning eyes of Christian Orthodoxy, these luminous eras are also shadows cast by a profound spiritual crisis, a rupture between the divine theonomy and human autonomy, between the sacred mystery of creation and the exalted claims of reason unbounded.

The Orthodox tradition does not reject reason or nature *per se*; rather, it situates them within a theonomic framework, where reason is enlightened by divine revelation and nature is transfigured by grace. The Renaissance's anthropocentric shift, while contributing to the affirmation of human dignity, often disconnected the human person from the divine prototype. In contrast, Orthodoxy maintains that the human being is fully understood only in Christ, the perfect image of God.⁷³ True knowledge and anthropology arise not from secular autonomy but from the mystical union with the Logos incarnate.⁷⁴

The Enlightenment ideal of autonomy – man as self-legislator and moral sovereign – ushered in a rupture from the ecclesial and sacramental understanding of reality. Orthodox theology critiques this modern project by affirming that freedom apart from God is illusory, and that true autonomy is realized only in

⁷³ Dumitru STĂNILAOE, *Teologia dogmatică ortodoxă*, vol. 2 (București: I.B.M., 1997), pp. 253–255.

⁷⁴ Vladimir LOSSKY, *The Mystical Theology of the Eastern Church*, pp. 70–71.

communion, through participation in the divine energies⁷⁵. The modern dislocation of truth, goodness, and beauty from their transcendent source has produced not liberation, but alienation, a fragmentation of personhood, morality, and cosmic order.⁷⁶ At the heart of the modern crisis lies a theological rupture: the cosmos has been desacralized, and humanity has lost its priestly vocation⁷⁷. Orthodoxy, in continuity with patristic thought, upholds a theonomous cosmology where creation is a theophany, and the human being is both steward and mediator.⁷⁸ The answer to modern nihilism is not a return to pre-modern structures, but a deepened spiritual vision in which the world is once again seen as a sacrament, and the human vocation is fulfilled in holiness. In this light, *Orthodox theology* offers not a nostalgic retreat, but a prophetic path toward the reintegration of the sacred and the rational⁷⁹, of time and eternity.

**

Beyond words and systems, beyond the reach of dialectic and the dust of time, there remains the flame, the silent, inexhaustible flame of divine presence. The Church is the lampstand of this Light: fragile yet unextinguished, wounded yet alive with the breath of the Spirit.

⁷⁵ Christos YANNARAS, *The Freedom of Morality*, trans. Elizabeth Briere (Crestwood, NY: St. Vladimir's Seminary Press, 1984), pp. 29–33.

⁷⁶ Alexander SCHMEMANN, *For the Life of the World: Sacraments and Orthodoxy* (Crestwood, NY: St. Vladimir's Seminary Press, 2000), pp. 98–102.

⁷⁷ Paul EVDOKIMOV, *The Sacrament of Love: The Nuptial Mystery in the Light of the Orthodox Tradition*, trans. Anthony Gythiel (Crestwood, NY: St. Vladimir's Seminary Press, 1995), pp. 57–60.

⁷⁸ St. MAXIMUS the Confessor, *Mystagogia*, in *Maximus Confessor: Selected Writings*, trans. George C. Berthold (New York: Paulist Press, 1985), pp. 186–188.

⁷⁹ Dumitru POPESCU, *Jesus Christ, Pantocrator (Iisus Hristos, Pantocrator)*, București: I.B.M., 2005, pp. 243–247.

In her depths, as Dionysius the Pseudo-Areopagite once hinted via the holy paradox⁸⁰, the soul ascends by unknowing, drawn into the divine darkness brighter than all light. Here, as St. Gregory Palamas taught, the uncreated energies of God deify without consuming⁸¹; and here, with Saint Symeon the New Theologian's tears of fire, man discovers within himself the inexpressible presence of the Beloved, "consciously dwelling within".⁸²

Through the Mysteries, through silence and light, the human heart is transfigured. It is in this radiant stillness that theology bends toward worship, and all knowledge becomes luminous praise. The Church remains not only the memory of Christ, but the anticipation of His glory: the living space where time is kissed by eternity and the created is touched by the uncreated.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Arion, Alexandru-Corneliu. "Human Depersonalization as a Symptom of Postmodern Society." In *International Journal of Orthodox Theology* 5, no. 1 (2014).
2. ———. "Encounters with Reality": Landmarks for the Contemporary Dialogue between Theology and Culture. Preface by Lucian Turcescu. Târgoviște: Cetatea de Scaun Publishing House, 2017.

⁸⁰ Dionysius the AREOPAGITE, *The Mystical Theology*, trans. Colm Luibheid (New York: Paulist Press, 1987), pp. 19-21, where he describes the "divine darkness brighter than all light" and the concept of "unknowing."

⁸¹ Gregory PALAMAS, *Triads in Defense of the Holy Hesychasts*, trans. Nicholas Gendle (New York: St. Vladimir's Seminary Press, 1983), pp. 45-47.

⁸² St. SYMEON the New Theologian, *Hymns of Divine Love*, trans. C.J. de Catanzaro (Crestwood, NY: St. Vladimir's Seminary Press, 1992), pp. 112-115, reflecting his mystical experience of "consciously dwelling within," echoing the themes in the hymns.

3. Augustine. **The City of God**. Translated by Henry Bettenson. London: Penguin Books, 2003.
4. Behr, John. **The Mystery of Christ: Life in Death**. Crestwood, NY: St. Vladimir's Seminary Press, 2006.
5. Bentley Hart, David. **Atheist Delusions: The Christian Revolution and Its Fashionable Enemies**. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2009.
6. ———. **The Experience of God: Being, Consciousness, Bliss**. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2013.
7. Brague, Rémi. **Eccentric Culture: A Theory of Western Civilization**. Translated by Samuel Lester. South Bend, IN: St. Augustine's Press, 2002.
8. ———. **The Law of God: The Philosophical History of an Idea**. Translated by Lydia G. Cochrane. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2007.
9. Burckhardt, Jacob. **The Civilization of the Renaissance in Italy**. Translated by S. G. C. Middlemore. London: Penguin, 1990.
10. Clément, Olivier. **The Roots of Christian Europe**. London: New City Press, 1993.
11. Crainic, Nichifor. **Nostalgia Paradisului (Nostalgia of Paradise)**. Iași: Moldova Publishing House, 1994.
12. Dionysius the Areopagite. **The Mystical Theology**. Translated by Colm Luibheid. New York: Paulist Press, 1987.
13. Eliade, Mircea. **The Sacred and the Profane: The Nature of Religion**. Translated by Willard R. Trask. New York: Harcourt, 1957.
14. Evdokimov, Paul. **The Sacrament of Love: The Nuptial Mystery in the Light of the Orthodox Tradition**. Translated by Anthony Gythiel. Crestwood, NY: St. Vladimir's Seminary Press, 1995.
15. Gillespie, Michael Allen. **The Theological Origins of Modernity**. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2008.
16. Gilson, Etienne. **The Spirit of Medieval Philosophy**. Translated by A. H. C. Downes. Notre Dame, IN: University of Notre Dame Press, 1991.
17. Gregory Palamas, St. **The Triads**. Edited by John Meyendorff. Translated by Nicholas Gendle. Mahwah, NJ: Paulist Press, 1983.
18. ———. **The Philokalia**, Vol. IV. Edited and translated by G. E. H. Palmer, Philip Sherrard, and Kallistos Ware. London: Faber and Faber, 1995.
19. Gregory of Nyssa. **On the Soul and the Resurrection**. In **Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers**, Series II, Vol. 5, edited by Philip Schaff and Henry Wace. Peabody, MA: Hendrickson, 1994.
20. ———. **The Life of Moses**. Translated by Abraham J. Malherbe and Everett Ferguson. New York: Paulist Press, 1978.

21. ———. **On the Making of Man**. In **Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers**, Second Series, Vol. 5, edited by Philip Schaff and Henry Wace. Peabody, MA: Hendrickson Publishers, 1995.
22. Gregory, Brad S. **The Unintended Reformation: How a Religious Revolution Secularized Society**. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press, 2012.
23. Hannam, James. **God's Philosophers: How the Medieval World Laid the Foundations of Modern Science**. London: Icon Books, 2009.
24. Hart, David Bentley. **Atheist Delusions: The Christian Revolution and Its Fashionable Enemies**. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2009.
25. Isaac the Syrian. **Ascetical Homilies**. Translated by Dana Miller. Boston, MA: Holy Transfiguration Monastery, 1984.
26. Israel, Jonathan. **Radical Enlightenment: Philosophy and the Making of Modernity 1650–1750**. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2001.
27. Jaki, Stanley. **The Road of Science and the Ways to God**. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1978.
28. Larchet, Jean-Claude. **The Theology of Illness**. Crestwood, NY: SVS Press, 2002.
29. Lossky, Vladimir. **The Mystical Theology of the Eastern Church**. Crestwood, NY: St. Vladimir's Seminary Press, 1997.
30. Louth, Andrew. **Maximus the Confessor**. London: Routledge, 1996.
31. MacIntyre, Alasdair. **After Virtue: A Study in Moral Theory**. 3rd ed. Notre Dame, IN: University of Notre Dame Press, 2007.
32. Marcus Aurelius. **Meditations**. Translated by Gregory Hays. New York: Modern Library, 2002.
33. Maximus the Confessor, St. **Chapters on Knowledge**. In **The Philokalia**, Vol. 2, translated by G. E. H. Palmer, Philip Sherrard, and Kallistos Ware. London: Faber & Faber, 1981.
34. ———. **Ambigua**. In **Selected Writings**, edited by George C. Berthold. Mahwah, NJ: Paulist Press, 1985.
35. ———. **Mystagogia**. In **Maximus Confessor: Selected Writings**, translated by George C. Berthold. New York: Paulist Press, 1985.
36. ———. **On the Cosmic Mystery of Jesus Christ**. Translated by Paul M. Blowers and Robert Louis Wilken. Crestwood, NY: St. Vladimir's Seminary Press, 2003.
37. Meyendorff, John. **Byzantine Theology: Historical Trends and Doctrinal Themes**. 2nd ed. New York: Fordham University Press, 1983.
38. Newbigin, James E. Lesslie. **The Other Side of 1984**. Geneva: WCC, 1983.
39. Picard, Max. **The Flight from God**. Translated by Anne Fremantle. Chicago: Henry Regnery Company, 1951; Fuga de Dumnezeu (Flight

- from God)*. Translated into Romanian by Patricia Merfu and Rev. George Remete. Bucharest: Anastasia, 1998.
40. Plotinus. **Enneads**, VI.9.11. Translated by A. H. Armstrong. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1988.
 41. Popescu, Dumitru. **Jesus Christ, Pantocrator (Iisus Hristos, Pantocrator)**. Bucharest: I.B.M., 2005.
 42. ———. **Ortodoxie și Contemporaneitate (Orthodoxy and Contemporaneity)**. Bucharest: Diogene Publishing House, 1996.
 43. ———. **Theology and Culture**. Bucharest: Biblical and Missionary Institute of the Romanian Orthodox Church, 1993.
 44. Ratzinger, Joseph (Benedict XVI). **Introduction to Christianity**. San Francisco: Ignatius Press, 2004.
 45. ———. **Without Roots: The West, Relativism, Christianity, Islam**. Translated by Michael J. Miller. New York: Basic Books, 2006.
 46. Schmemmann, Alexander. **For the Life of the World**. Crestwood, NY: SVS Press, 2004.
 47. Stăniloae, Dumitru. **The Experience of God: Orthodox Dogmatic Theology**, Vol. 1. Translated by Ioan Ionita and Robert Barringer. Brookline, MA: Holy Cross Orthodox Press, 1994.
 48. ———. **Teologia Dogmatică Ortodoxă**, Vol. 3. Publishing House of the Biblical and Orthodox Mission Institute, Bucharest, 1996.
 49. Symeon the New Theologian, St. **Hymns of Divine Love**. Translated by C.J. de Catanzaro. Crestwood, NY: St. Vladimir's Seminary Press, 1992.
 50. Taylor, Charles. **A Secular Age**. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2007.
 51. Tillich, Paul. **The Courage to Be**. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1952.
 52. Yannaras, Christos. **The Freedom of Morality**. Translated by Elizabeth Briere. Crestwood, NY: St. Vladimir's Seminary Press, 1984.
 53. Zizioulas, John. **Being as Communion: Studies in Personhood and the Church**. Crestwood, NY: St. Vladimir's Seminary Press, 1985.